



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,824	02/02/2000	Ari Juels	SDT-036(7216/51)	6735

23483 7590 05/10/2005

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
60 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER

ZIA, SYED

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/496,824	Applicant(s) JUELS ET AL.	
	Examiner Syed Zia	Art Unit 2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Syed Zia. (3) Ayaz Sheikh (05/04).
 (2) Ronald R. Demsher. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04/21/05 & 05/04/05.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Attorney specifically argued that the cited prior art does not describe the "computational task", "a time limit for correct completion", "receiving verification", "allocating of resources" and

Examiner argued that applicant's disclosure is regarding protecting a server from a connection depletion attack using cryptographic countermeasure, and when examiner searched, he, he also searched the claim to within "denial of service attack" and "connection depletion attack" as subject matter. The "computational task" is a primitive level of operation that a processor performs on a operands based on operators, and any computational environment such as communication network has built-in capability to perform this task.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required *[Signature]* 5/4/05

Attorney did not agree and asked for computational task in the referenced. Examiner agreed to send another non-final based on such a broader interpretation of invention such as "computational task", "time limit" etc as claimed in claim 1